

# Physical and chemical evolution from diffuse to dense clouds

Maxime Ruaud NPP Fellow at NASA Ames

Quy Nhon - July 2018

# Physical and chemical evolution are intimately linked

- Atoms and molecules provide the cooling
  - Ly-α HI
  - Fine structure lines: [C II], [O I],...
  - Rotational lines: H<sub>2</sub>, CO, OH, H<sub>2</sub>O...
- Molecular observations give insight on the physics



### **Dynamical evolution**

Both large scale and small scale MHD simulations reach approximately same conclusion:

- Atomic and molecular clouds form by converging flows of the WNM
  - Produce a turbulent shocked thermal unstable layer which fragments
  - Turbulence maintained by interaction with the WNM
- Gravity takes over when enough gas has accumulated



Audit & Hennebelle 2005

x (pc)

15

20

og(n) (cm<sup>-3</sup>)

### **Dynamical evolution**

Both large scale and small scale MHD simulations reach approximately same conclusion:

- Atomic and molecular clouds form by converging flows of the WNM
  - Produce a turbulent shocked thermal unstable layer which fragments
  - Turbulence maintained by interaction with the WNM
- Gravity takes over when enough gas has accumulated

Interstellar clouds are continuously evolving objects and strongly coupled to their environment





#### Quy Nhon - July 2018

	Diffuse Atomic	Diffuse Molecular	Translucent	Dense Molecular
Defining Characteristic	$f^{n}_{H_{2}} < 0.1$	$f^{n}_{H_{2}} > 0.1 f^{n}_{C^{+}} > 0.5$	$f^{n}_{C^{+}} < 0.5 f^{n}_{CO} < 0.9$	$f^{n}_{CO} > 0.9$
A <sub>V</sub> (min.)	0	~0.2	~1-2	~5-10
Typ. $n_{\rm H}$ (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	10–100	100–500	500-5000?	>10 <sup>4</sup>
Тур. Т (К)	30–100	30–100	15-50?	10–50
Observational	UV/Vis	UV/Vis IR abs	Vis (UV?) IR abs	IR abs
Techniques	H I 21-cm	mm abs	mm abs/em	mm em



**Molecular fraction** 

$$f(H_2) = \frac{2N(H_2)}{N(H) + 2N(H_2)}$$

Snow and Mc Call 2006

**Visual extinction** 

$$A_V \sim 5.8 \times 10^{-22} \left( \frac{N_{\rm H}}{1 \ {\rm cm}^{-2}} \right)$$

	Diffuse Atomic	Diffuse Molecular	Translucent	Dense Molecular
Defining Characteristic	$f^{n}_{H_{2}} < 0.1$	$f^{n}_{H_{2}} > 0.1 f^{n}_{C^{+}} > 0.5$	$f^{n}_{C^{+}} < 0.5 f^{n}_{CO} < 0.9$	$f^{n}_{CO} > 0.9$
A <sub>V</sub> (min.)	0	~0.2	~1-2	$\sim 5 - 10$
Typ. $n_{\rm H}$ (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	10–100	100–500	500-5000?	>10 <sup>4</sup>
Тур. Т (К)	30–100	30–100	15-50?	10–50
Observational	UV/Vis	UV/Vis IR abs	Vis (UV?) IR abs	IR abs
Techniques	H I 21-cm	mm abs	mm abs/em	mm em



Snow and Mc Call 2006

### Interstellar ices

Traced by their molecular vibrational transitions in the NIR and FIR:  $\lambda \sim 1$  - 100  $\mu$ m

Mainly consist of H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>CO and CH<sub>3</sub>OH

Evidence for the presence of more complex molecules (e.g. HCOOH, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, SO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, HCN, OCS, ...)

# Diffuse/translucent clouds

# Wide variety of simple molecules in the diffuse/translucent medium

Herschel and SOFIA have provided a comprehensive view of FIR and sub-mm universe

- Velocity resolved observations
- New molecules: OH<sup>+</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>Cl<sup>+</sup>, ArH<sup>+</sup>, ...

More complex molecules are also present:

- Ubiquitous presence l-C<sub>3</sub>H and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (Liszt et al. 2018)
- Complex organic molecules (COMs) (Thiel et al 2017)



# Diffuse/translucent clouds

#### Most of these molecules have simple chemistry:

- Chemical model in relatively good agreement with most of the abundant molecules
- Robust tracers of the physical conditions

#### **Balance between formation/destruction:**



 $\zeta_{\rm H} \sim 10^{-16} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$  in diffuse clouds  $\rightarrow$  confirm estimation based on H<sub>3</sub>+



# Physics and chemistry in PDRs

PDRs are good target to test our understanding of the physics and the chemistry:

- Many of the physical and chemical processes that regulate diffuse clouds are identical to those in dense PDRs
- High surface brightness
- Spatially resolved





# Physics and chemistry in PDRs

### Models of PDRs:

- Micro-physics treated in great details
- Thermal balance, chemistry and radiative transfer equation are coupled and solved iteratively

We get:

- Physical structure
- Molecular abundance
- Line and continuum intensities that can be directly compared to observations



# Physics and chemistry in PDRs

### **Models of PDRs:**

- Micro-physics treated in great details
- Thermal balance, chemistry and radiative transfer equation are coupled and solved iteratively

We get:

- Physical structure
- Molecular abundance
- Line and continuum intensities that can be directly compared to observations

Difficult to have a complete view on the evolution based only on these models:

- Dynamical effects are generally neglected
- Chemistry assumed to be at equilibrium in most of the case. Good approximation when t<sub>chem</sub> < t<sub>dyn</sub> → Do not hold in dense and shielded regions where t<sub>chem</sub> ~ t<sub>dyn</sub>.



# Chemistry of cold dark clouds

### Pseudo-time dependent chemical models

- Solve the chemistry as a function of time
- Chemistry in cold dark clouds is pretty well understood



# Chemistry of cold dark clouds

But strong assumptions on the transition between diffuse and dense medium...

- Dark clouds often considered as simple objects: physical conditions assumed to be constant
- "low-metal abundances" (Graedel et al. 1982)
- No inherited molecules from the diffuse medium → Could have lead to the controversial idea of an early time chemistry in some of dense clouds (e.g. TMC-1)



# Chemistry of cold dark clouds

But strong assumptions on the transition between diffuse and dense medium...

- Dark clouds often considered as simple objects: physical conditions assumed to be constant
- "low-metal abundances" (Graedel et al. 1982)
- No inherited molecules from the diffuse medium → Could have lead to the controversial idea of an early time chemistry in some of dense clouds (e.g. TMC-1)

# Reflect the lack of information on their dynamical history



Follow the evolution of the chemical composition of dense clouds from the diffuse ISM (Ruaud et al. 2018)

- Chemistry as a post treatment of the dynamics
- No assumptions on the initial abundances: typical from the diffuse ISM
- Possibility to use a complex time-dependent chemical model (gas + grains)

### Large scale hydrodynamic simulation

- 3D SPH simulations
- Top down approach used to probe successive smaller scales
- Dense clouds formed by converging flows induced by shocks when the matter enters the spiral arm potential
- High resolution re-simulation: evolution of a parcel of gas 250x250pc<sup>2</sup>
  - ▶ 10<sup>7</sup> particles de 0.15M⊙ each
  - Period de 50 Myr (~1/4 of a galactic orbit)



SPH simulations from Ian Bonnell (Bonnell et al. 2013)

### Method

 Selection of some dense clouds and extraction of the physical history of each SPH particle that form them



### Method

 $\begin{array}{l} Extraction \ of \ n_{H}(t), \ T_{gas}(t) \ and \ Av(t) \\ for \ each \ SPH \ particles \end{array}$ 

Input of a full gas-grain chemical model (Nautilus, Ruaud et al. 2016):

- ~700 chemical species (500 for the gas and 200 for the grains)
- ~10000 reactions



### Method

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Extraction of } n_{H}(t) \text{, } T_{gas}(t) \text{ and } Av(t) \\ \text{ for each SPH particles} \end{array}$ 

Input of a full gas-grain chemical model (Nautilus, Ruaud et al. 2016):

- ~700 chemical species (500 for the gas and 200 for the grains)
- ~10000 reactions

We follow the evolution of the chemical composition from the diffuse ISM up to the formation of the dense cloud



### **Results for two clouds**

Selection motivated by:

- Similarity of the physical parameters in the dense cloud regime
- Different physical history

	Cloud A	Cloud B
Number of SPH particles	237	287
Total mass $(M_{\odot})^a$	37	45
Mean radius (pc)	0.33	0.29
Velocity dispersion (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	1.4	0.7
Virial parameter <sup>b</sup>	20.0	4.0
Median $T_{gas}$ (K)	12.0	11.0
Median $n_{\rm H}$ (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$4 \times 10^{4}$	$7 \times 10^{4}$



#### Maxime Ruaud

#### Quy Nhon - July 2018

### **Results for two clouds**

Similar results for most of the commonly observed molecules:

- Depletion of some molecules in the densest part of each clouds (e.g.: CO and CS)
  - → Abundance gradient on small spatial scales
- Molecule like CH<sub>3</sub>OH does not show any sign of depletion and is well distributed
  - → Formation on grains allow the continuous replenishment of the gas-phase



#### Quy Nhon - July 2018

18.5

18.0

17.5

17.0

-16.5

16.0

15.5

17.0

16.5

16.0

15.5

15.0

13.5

13.0

12.5

12.0

11.5

11.0

14.5

14.0

13.5

13.0

12.5

12.0

### **Results for two clouds**



Strong differences for some molecules: mostly carbon chains

#### Maxime Ruaud

#### Quy Nhon - July 2018

### **Results for two clouds**

Presence of a density pre-phase in the case of cloud B:

- Depletion of the electron donors: reduces the electronic fraction → Promotes the ion-chemistry
- Formation of H<sub>2</sub>O on grains increases the gas-phase C/O ratio: more carbon is available





### Enhancement of carbon chains in the TMC-1 (CP) dark cloud

Carbon chains and cyanopolynes are ~10x more abundant as compared to other similar clouds

- Uncertain origin: Most popular scenario based on the idea of an "early-time chemistry"
- Could be the results of the dynamics: some of the gas could have experienced a past density phase



#### Quy Nhon - July 2018

### Enhancement of carbon chains in the TMC-1 (CP) dark cloud

Carbon chains and cyanopolynes are ~10x more abundant as compared to other similar clouds

- Uncertain origin: Most popular scenario based on the idea of an "early-time chemistry"
- Could be the results of the dynamics: some of the gas could have experienced a past density phase

Changes significantly the story...



#### Maxime Ruaud

#### Quy Nhon - July 2018

### To summarize

- The ISM is a rich environment where physics and chemistry are strongly coupled
- MHD simulations show that the ISM is constantly evolving and strongly linked to its environment
- PDRs give insight on the physical and chemical evolution from diffuse to molecular clouds. But:
  - Dynamics not included in models
  - Chemical equilibrium is often assumed
- Dynamics is important and can impact the molecular composition
- Difficult to couple dynamics and chemistry properly: Computationally expensive to include in MHD simulations
- Post-processing of the chemistry on MHD simulations can help



# Thank you for you attention

Maxime Ruaud

Quy Nhon - July 2018